BELLSOUTH

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

333 Commerce Street

Suite 2101

Nashville, TN 37201-3300

guy.hicks@bellsouth.com

*** 17 21 FR 1 55

November 21, 2000

Guy M. Hicks General Counsel

615 214-6301 Fax 615 214-7406

VIA HAND DELIVERY

David Waddell, Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

Re: Interconnection Agreement Negotiations Between AT&T Communications of the South Central States, Inc. TCG MidSouth, Inc. and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 Docket No. 00-00079

Dear Mr. Waddell:

Enclosed are the original and thirteen copies of the Joint Issues Matrix. Counsel for AT&T has requested that BellSouth make this filing on AT&T's behalf. Copies of the enclosed are being provided to counsel of record for AT&T.

Very truly yours,

Guy M. Hicks

GMH:ch Enclosure



Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

	Issue	AT&T Position	BellSouth Position
1.	Should calls to Internet service providers be treated as local traffic for the purposes of reciprocal compensation? (Attachment 3)	ISP calls should be treated as local traffic for purposes of reciprocal compensation. AT&T still incurs the cost of the ISP Traffic over its network. Additionally, such calls are treated as local under BellSouth's tariffs and the FCC has treated ISP Traffic as intrastate for jurisdictional separation purposes.	No. The FCC has definitively determined that ISP traffic is interstate in nature. Therefore, such traffic should not be treated as local for purposes of reciprocal compensation. The parties should track the minutes of ISP traffic exchanged and true up the amount of compensation owed, if any, based on an effective rule promulgated by the FCC.
2.	What does "currently combines" mean as that phrase is used in 47 C.F.R. §51.315(b)? (UNE's Attachment 2)	The Commission should allow AT&T to provide telecommunications services to any customer using any combination of elements that BellSouth routinely combines in its own network and to purchase such combinations at TELRIC rates. BellSouth should not be allowed to restrict AT&T from purchasing and using such combinations to only provide service to customers who currently receive retail service by means of the combined elements. This is the only interpretation of the term "currently combines" that is consistent with the nondiscrimination policy of the Act and which will promote rapid growth in competition in the local telephone market.	In the FCC's Third Report and Order, the FCC confirmed that BellSouth presently has no obligation to combine network elements for CLECs when those elements are not currently combined in BellSouth's network. The FCC rules, 51.315(c)-(f), that purported to require incumbents to combine unbundled network elements were vacated by the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals and were not appealed to or reinstated by the Supreme Court. The question of whether those rules should be reinstated is pending before the Eighth Circuit, and the FCC explicitly declined to revisit those rules at this time. Third Report and Order, ¶ 481. The FCC also confirmed that when unbundled network elements, as defined by the FCC, are currently combined in BellSouth's network, BellSouth cannot separate those elements except upon request. 47 C.F.R. § 51.315(b). For example, when a loop and a port are

Page 1

r			
			currently combined by BellSouth to serve a particular customer, that combination of elements must be made available to CLECs. According to the FCC, requesting carriers are entitled to obtain such combinations "at unbundled network element prices." <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 480.
3.	Should BellSouth be permitted to charge AT&T a "glue charge" when BellSouth combines network elements? (UNE's, Attachment 2)	BellSouth should not impose any additional charge on AT&T for any combination of network elements above the TELRIC cost of the combination.	'ordinarily combined'"). See BellSouth's response to Issue 2, which is incorporated herein by reference as fully as if set out in its entirety.

Page 2

Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

4.	Under what terms, and conditions may AT&T purchase network elements or combinations to replace services currently purchased from BellSouth tariffs? (UNEs, Attachment 2)	Pursuant to FCC Orders, AT&T is permitted, under certain conditions, to purchase network elements and combinations to replace services currently purchased from BellSouth tariffs. The terms and conditions would be those applicable to the tariff. The rate would be the TELRIC cost to do a record change in BellSouth's OSS, plus the recurring price of the appropriate network elements or combinations. BellSouth should not be permitted to place obstacles in the way of AT&T's ability to convert such services to network elements and combinations as easily and seamlessly as possible. Appropriate terms and conditions must also be ordered to ensure that AT&T is able to replace services with network elements/combinations of network elements.	Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, and in conformance with the guidelines set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Orders dated Nov. 5, 1999 and Nov. 24, 1999, BellSouth will convert services currently purchased on a month to month basis by AT&T, or a BellSouth end user changing its service provider to AT&T, to the extent possible on a mechanized basis at a record change charge. As to services provided to AT&T or to a BellSouth end user changing its service provider to AT&T under a volume and term agreement or other contract basis, BellSouth will convert the services to the UNEs ordered by AT&T upon AT&T's payment of the appropriate early termination liabilities set forth in the volume and
5.	How should AT&T and BellSouth interconnect their networks in order to originate and complete calls to end-users? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3)	AT&T and BellSouth should interconnect on an equitable basis, which is hierarchically equivalent, and not maintain the imbalanced situation where AT&T incurs the expense of connecting throughout BellSouth's network, while BellSouth incurs the much lower cost of connecting at the edge of AT&T's network. AT&T's proposal also avoids use of limited collocation space that is better used for other purposes such as interconnection to UNE loops and advanced services. AT&T's proposal requires the two parties to work out a transition plan to "groom" the two networks.	BellSouth offers interconnection in compliance with the requirements of the FCC rules and regulations as well as any state statute or regulation. Interconnection can be through delivery of facilities to a collocation or fiber meet arrangement or through the lease of facilities. Interconnection for AT&T originated traffic must be accomplished through at least one interface within each BellSouth LATA and may be at an access tandem or local tandem. BellSouth, at its option,

Page 3

6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician access to and use BellSouth on the requires BellSouth originating traffic from the originating local calling area, AT&T should be financially responsible for the facilities necessary to accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR splits of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, it access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by Test or equire all local service providers, including BellSouth, it access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself.				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. for the delivery of its originating traffic from the originating traffic from the originating traffic from the originating local calling area to a point of interconnection or accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth facilities necessary to accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR gillsouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR gillsouth should construct such single points of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network as it uses for itself. This is true.				may designate one or more
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. originating traffic to AT&T. BellSouth income as a result of the selection of interconnection of interconnection of interconnection of interconnection outside that local calling area to a point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fally accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth to access to be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth to access sub-loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				1
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection on texist, BellSouth the necessity of interconnection on texist, BellSouth the necessity of having a BellSouth the chinician present. BellSouth the chinician present. AT&T. BellSouth should not be required to incur additional unnecessary cost as a result of the selection of interconnection of interconnection of interconnection of interconnection of interconnection of interconnection of the facilities necessary to accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with will perform in conformance with the puidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network			İ	
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with at least a training and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with at least a training a training to make the points of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiumit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist. BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR spins of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessarily all, local service providers, including BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
additional unnecessary cost as a result of the selection of interconnection points by AT&T. If AT&T requires BellSouth to haul BellSouth originating traffic from the origination of interconnection outside that local cal				l l
as a result of the selection of interconnection points by AT&T. If AT&T requires BellSouth to haul BellSouth originating local calling area to a point of interconnection outside that local calling area, AT&T should be financially responsible for the facilities necessary to accomplish this. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiumit POI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single points of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth is not required to providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with a cocomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access sub-loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers, including access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				additional unnecessary cost
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T to gain access to be point of interconnection on to exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. by AT&T. If AT&T requires BellSouth to for the requires dellacultion of interconnection outside that local calling area to a point of interconnection on to exist. BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection on to exist, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth the necessity of having a BellSouth the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network	-			
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth should cooperate with facilities necessary to accomplish this. BellSouth should cooperate with apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities necessary to accomplish this. BellSouth should cooperate with apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities necessary to accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR bellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should cooperate with accilities necessary to accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$\) BellSouth should cooperate with apply to accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present.				
BellSouth originating traffic from the originating local calling area to a point of interconnection outside that local calling area, AT&T should be financially responsible for the facilities necessary to accomplish this. AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit shallations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection to not exist, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth the chinician present. BellSouth trechnician present. BellSouth should cooperate with ability to avail itself of an avail itself o				
traffic from the originating local calling area to a point of interconnection outside that local calling area to a point of interconnection outside that local calling area, AT&T should be financially responsible for the facilities necessary to accomplish this. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a shigle point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T is pablic by a point of interconnection on a sability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR given by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, of access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its necessarily all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T to access to be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on the exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, it has been some point of the facilities of any available legal remedies, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, it have been some point of the facilities necessary to accomplish this. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
that local calling area, AT&T should be financially responsible for the facilities necessary to accomplish this. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single points of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR §51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access sub- loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
6. What terms and conditions should apply for AT&T to gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with facilities to serve multi-unit entities and the points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR S51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled network				
BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should cooperate with AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. Without waiver of its ability to avail itself of any available legal remedies, BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network	L			
AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit spol installations. Where such points of interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. AT&T, upon request, in establishing a single point of interconnection on a case-by-case basis at multiunit SPOI installations. Where such points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network	6.		BellSouth should cooperate with	Without waiver of its
gain access to and use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should construct such single point of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth will perform in conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, is the parties of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order require all local service			AT&T, upon request, in establishing a	ability to avail itself of any
use BellSouth facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. Conformance with the guidelines of 47 CFR \$51.319(a)(2)(E) as set forth by the FCC in CC Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				available legal remedies,
facilities to serve multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. interconnection do not exist, BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth, to access with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network			1	BellSouth will perform in
multi-unit installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. BellSouth technician present. BellSouth should construct such single points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network		l .		
installations? (UNE's Attachment 2) points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. points of interconnection. The single point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth to access sub-loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network)	•	guidelines of 47 CFR
(UNE's Attachment 2) point of interconnection should be fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. Docket No. 96-98 UNE Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network	1			
fully accessible by AT&T technicians without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. Remand Order. BellSouth disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network		}		
without the necessity of having a BellSouth technician present. disagrees with AT&T's reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access sub- loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network		,		
BellSouth technician present. reading of the FCC's Order to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access subloop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network		(2)		
to require all local service providers, including BellSouth, to access sub- loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				_
providers, including BellSouth, to access sub- loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network			BellSouth technician present.	_
BellSouth, to access sub- loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
loop elements in exactly the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				1 - '
the same manner. The Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
Order requires BellSouth, if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
if the parties cannot agree otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
otherwise, to establish a single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
single point of interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
interconnection accessible by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
by multiple, but not necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
necessarily all, local service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
service providers. BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
BellSouth is not required to provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
provide CLECs identical access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
access to its network as it uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
uses for itself. This is true not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				, -
not only for unbundled sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				l :
sub-loop elements but for all unbundled network				
all unbundled network				
Cicinents, Deusonin has 1				elements. BellSouth has

Page 4

<u> </u>			
7.	Should AT&T be	Yes. When AT&T's switches serve a	proposed the use of an access terminal as a reasonable means of giving CLECs the access to unbundled sub-loop elements without sacrificing the security and reliability of the network which would result were AT&T's proposed form of access to be adopted.
/-	permitted to charge tandem rate elements when its switch serves a geographic area comparable to that served by BellSouth's tandem switch? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3)	geographic area comparable to that served by BellSouth's tandem switch, then AT&T should be permitted to charge tandem rate elements.	AT&T must demonstrate to the TRA that (1) its switch serves a comparable geographic area and that (2) its switch performs functions similar to those performed by BellSouth's tandem switch. Simply being capable of serving a comparable geographic area or of performing tandem switching functions is not sufficient evidence.
8.	What coordinated cut-over process should be implemented to ensure accurate, reliable and timely cut-overs when a customer changes local service from BellSouth to AT&T? (UNEs, Attachment 2)	The coordinated cut-over process proposed by AT&T should be implemented to ensure accurate, reliable, and timely cut-overs. BellSouth's proposed process does not ensure that customers switching from BellSouth to AT&T receive the same treatment that BellSouth customers receive. Moreover, BellSouth does not follow its own process.	The coordinated cut-over process proposed by BellSouth does ensure accurate, reliable and timely cut-overs. BellSouth's current SQMs measure BellSouth's performance in this area and sufficiently demonstrate that AT&T customers switching from BellSouth receive non-discriminatory treatment.
9.	What is the appropriate treatment of outbound voice calls over Internet protocol ("IP") telephony, as it pertains to reciprocal compensation? (Local Interconnection, Attachment 3)	Until the FCC issues rules on how IP Traffic is to be treated, no restrictions should be imposed. Further, there is no way to measure and record such Traffic as requested by BellSouth. In any event, this is not a proper subject for negotiation in an interconnection agreement. Finally, BellSouth has raised an issue dealing with access charges and their application to certain traffic that travels over IP technology. Access charges are not an issue that should be addressed in arbitration.	As with any other local traffic, reciprocal compensation should apply to local telecommunications provided via IP Telephony, to the extent that it is technically feasible to apply such charges. To the extent, however, that calls provided via IP Telephony are long distance calls, access charges should apply, irrespective of the technology used to transport them.

Page 5 Revised: 11/21/00

Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

10. Should BellSouth be allowed to all of the customer's locations should not be aggregated. If a customer, for example, has several locations of a single locations, each served by 3 lines or lines, and AT&T's customer, for more lines, and AT&T's customer, for example, has several locations, each served by 3 lines or lines, and AT&T's customer, for example, has several locations, each served by 3 lines or locations.	he
locations of a single customer to restrict AT&T's ability to purchase local circuit switching at UNE rates to serve any of the lines of that customer? (UNEs, Attachment 2) locations, each served by 3 lines or less, AT&T should be entitled to purchase local circuit switching from BellSouth to serve each of the locations. locations, each served by 3 lines or less, AT&T should be entitled to purchase local circuit switching from BellSouth to serve each of the locations. MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T waccess to its circuit switching at 47 USI §252(d) rates. All lines provided to a customer, including at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T waccess to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T waccess to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T waccess to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T was access to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T was access to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T was access to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T was access to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T was access to its circuit switching at every location (with the confines Density Zone 1 in a MSA, BellSouth do have a statutory oblic to provide AT&T was accessed to pro	ner has all of top 50 es not igation with those there altiple e igation
The state of the delivery of collocation space to AT&T? (Collocation, Attachment 4) FCC rules require that BellSouth provides collocation within intervals no greater than the best practice intervals of other ILECS. Accordingly, BellSouth should provide collocation within the following intervals: (1) virtual and cageless: 60 calendar days; and (2) Physical (caged): 30 calendar days if AT&T does the construction; and 90 calendar days if BellSouth does the construction. In the event of unforeseen circumstances, BellSouth should apply to the SCPSC for suspension of or relief from the intervals. The states of the tates of sollowing intervals of following intervals accordance with the consistent with the intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation within the following intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation reveals of or relief from the intervals. The self-South proposes following intervals of sollowing intervals of order. These intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation accordance with the consistent with the order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation reveals of order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation accordance with the consistent with the intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation accordance with the consistent with the intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation accordance with the consistent with the intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation provision intervals of sollocation accordance with the consistent with the intervals and proced set forth in the FCC Order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation accordance with the consistent with the order order. The TRA shadetermine that physical collocation accordance with the consistent with the order order order order	For in FCC's rals are lures 's could ical ming to modar from on. In hould gladar occation litions, is under
12. When AT&T and Yes. When BellSouth and AT&T No. AT&T's propo	
BellSouth have adjoining facilities are in close proximity, in adjoining facilities are in close proximity, in definition of premise	_
in a building outside AT&T should be able to cross connect beyond that which i	s
BellSouth's central office, should its network directly from its space to office, should BellSouth's space without having to regulations or that we	

Page 6

	purchase cross	BellSouth.	T
	connect facilities to	Densoum.	wishes to take advantage of
	connect to		its former corporate
	BellSouth or other		ownership of BellSouth.
	CLEC networks		BellSouth's agreement to
			AT&T's terms would cause
	without having to		BellSouth to provide
ļ	collocate in		AT&T with more favorable
1	BellSouth's portion		treatment than other new
-	of the building?		entrants.
İ	(Collocation,	+	
	Attachment 4)		
13.	Is conducting a	No. These requirements are	Yes. BellSouth performs
	statewide	unreasonable and are inconsistent with	criminal background
	investigation of	the examples of measures found by the	checks on its employees
	criminal history	FCC to be reasonable, e.g. ID badges,	prior to hiring and as such
	records for each	security cameras, cabinet enclosures,	can require AT&T to do
	AT&T employee or	and separate central building	the same in order for
	agent being	entrances. Such requirements are	AT&T to have unescorted
1	considered to work	excessive, increasing collocation costs	access to the central offices
	on a BellSouth	without providing additional	
	premises a security	protection to BellSouth. Moreover,	and other premises that
	measure that	such requirements are discriminatory	house the public switched
	BellSouth may	as applied to AT&T because of its	network. Such security
	impose on AT&T?	collective bargaining agreements.	requirements are
	(Collocation,	Further AT&T is writting to industric	reasonable in light of the
	Attachment 4)	Further, AT&T is willing to indemnify	assets being protected as
[/ Attachinent 4)	BellSouth, on a reciprocal basis, for	well as the number of new
1		any bodily injury or property damage	entrants and other
1		caused by AT&T's employees or	telecommunications
		agents.	carriers relying on the
			integrity and reliability of
			BellSouth's network.
	}		AT&T's offer to indemnify
1	Ì		BellSouth for bodily injury
			or property damage is not
1			sufficient in light of the
			asset at risk.
14.	Has BellSouth	No. BellSouth does not provide	Yes. BellSouth has
	provided sufficient	AT&T adequate customized routing.	available both an AIN
	customized routing	BellSouth has not provided sufficient	solution for customized
	in accordance with	information on its untested AIN	routing as well as the LCC
	State and Federal	solution, including rates. If	solution that was advocated
	law to allow it to	BellSouth's proposal is line class	by AT&T during the last
	avoid providing	codes ("LCC's"), this solution may	round of arbitrations.
	Operator	not be viable in every central office.	AT&T participated in
	Services/Directory	Thus, until these methods are proven	testing BellSouth's AIN
	Assistance	viable, AT&T may purchase OS/DA	customized routing
	("OS/DA") as a	as an unbundled network element.	solution.
	UNE? (UNEs,	and who what of the content.	SOIUHOII.
	Attachment 2)		
15.	What procedure	RellSouth should accent from ATST	DallCand Land
13.	should be	BellSouth should accept from AT&T	BellSouth has proposed a
	established for	two types of orders, 1) an	procedure whereby AT&T
	AT&T to obtain	Infrastructure Provisioning Order and	can order loop/port
	AT&T to obtain	2) a Customer Specific Provisioning	combinations using
		Page 7	Pavisad: 11/21/00

Page 7

Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

16.	loop-port combinations (UNE-P) using both Infrastructure and Customer Specific Provisioning? (Attachment 7) Should the Commission or a third party commercial arbitrator resolve disputes under the Interconnection Agreement? (General Terms & Conditions)	Order. The Infrastructure Provisioning Order (which consists of an Infrastructure Footprint Form and an Operator Services and Directory Assistance Questionnaire) notifies BellSouth of the common use of Network Elements and Combinations that AT&T will require geographically by End Office, Rate Center, LATA or State. The Footprint Order should be acknowledged within 24 hours and responded to within 5 business days thereafter. The Customer Specific Provisioning Order should be the LSR. LSRs for UNE-P should be received electronically, provided with ordering flow-through and provisioned at parity with BellSouth retail. Electronic LSRs with flow through ordering should be available for orders using either an unbranded or an AT&T branded platform. More issues will arise now that AT&T is entering the market and will need to be resolved quickly. These issues will be more business oriented and less policy oriented, and thus, more appropriately handled by commercial arbitrators. The parties should continue to have the right to resolve operational issues in a commercial forum on an expedited basis; thereby, limiting the customer-affecting impact of any such disputes.	BellSouth is not opposed to AT&T making a one-time designation to BellSouth to have all of AT&T's end user calls routed to the appropriate OS/DA platform. AT&T, however, refuses to make a single designation and seeks instead a variety of OS/DA routing plans. Therefore, AT&T should be required to populate the appropriate Line Class Code on the LSR submitted to the LCSC. If AT&T decided upon and
		operational issues in a commercial forum on an expedited basis; thereby, limiting the customer-affecting impact	BellSouth states that it has had experience with commercial arbitration in the resolution of disputes under interconnection agreements negotiated pursuant to 47 USC §252
		Page 8	Revised: 11/21/00

Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

Yes, Change Control should apply to	and BellSouth should not be forced to waive its right to seek resolution of such issues before the TRA.
Yes. Change Control should apply to the entire range of transactions required between AT&T and BellSouth in order for AT&T to utilize Services and Elements. Both electronic and manual interfaces and processes are required to establish and maintain a business relationship with BellSouth and conduct day-to-day business transactions. A comprehensive Change Control Process should provide "cradle to grave" coverage of the life cycle of an interface or process, and its supporting documentation (such as specifications, business rules, methods, and procedures). Thus, implementation of new interfaces, management of interfaces in production (including defect correction), and the retirement of interfaces should be addressed. Change Control should provide a normal process, an exception process, an escalation process, and a dispute resolution process with ultimate recourse to the Commission, mediation, or court adjudication. Additionally, a process by which the Change Control Process can be changed should be specified. The Change Control Process (CCP) BellSouth has proposed is not comprehensive. AT&T's proposal and the existing BST proposal are compared below.	The terms and conditions of the CCP, as well as the subjects to which it should apply, should be negotiated between the CCP participating members and cannot be properly arbitrated in a proceeding that involves only BellSouth and AT&T. Subject to this, BellSouth will respond to the individual items AT&T has identified through separate responses given below. To the extent such issues are arbitrated, the current CCP is more than adequate to serve the needs of the CLEC community and address AT&T's concerns
CCP AT&T's View	CCP BellSouth's View
Yes. The change control process should address the introduction of new electronic interfaces.	This subpart is addressed in the CCP today.
Yes. The change control process should address the retirement of existing interfaces.	This subpart is addressed in the CCP today.
Yes. The change control process should address exceptions to the process.	The CCP is comprehensive and addresses 6 types of change requests. There is no value in adding an
	required between AT&T and BellSouth in order for AT&T to utilize Services and Elements. Both electronic and manual interfaces and processes are required to establish and maintain a business relationship with BellSouth and conduct day-to-day business transactions. A comprehensive Change Control Process should provide "cradle to grave" coverage of the life cycle of an interface or process, and its supporting documentation (such as specifications, business rules, methods, and procedures). Thus, implementation of new interfaces, management of interfaces in production (including defect correction), and the retirement of interfaces should be addressed. Change Control should provide a normal process, an exception process, an escalation process, and a dispute resolution process with ultimate recourse to the Commission, mediation, or court adjudication. Additionally, a process by which the Change Control Process can be changed should be specified. The Change Control Process (CCP) BellSouth has proposed is not comprehensive. AT&T's proposal and the existing BST proposal are compared below. CCP AT&T's View Yes. The change control process should address the introduction of new electronic interfaces. Yes. The change control process should address the retirement of existing interfaces. Yes. The change control process should address exceptions to the

Page 9

			additional type for exceptions.
	d) documentation, including training?	Yes. The change control process should include more detail pertaining to documentation of interfaces, including training in the use of such interfaces.	Documentation for the interfaces is addressed in CCP today. BellSouth is responsible for training and will update training documentation as needed when there are changes to the interfaces.
	e) defect correction?	Yes. The change control process should address defect corrections found in existing interfaces.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today.
	f) emergency changes (defect correction)?	Yes. The change control process should address defect corrections and provide emergency changes in existing interfaces.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today. Emergency changes are Type 1 changes.
	g) an eight step cycle, repeated monthly?	Yes. The change control process should include a detailed eight step process to implement changes in interfaces.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today. Type 1 issues has a 6 step process, Type 2-5 issues has a 10 step process, and Type 6 issues has an 8 step process.
	h) a firm schedule for notifications associated with changes initiated by BellSouth?	Yes. The change control process should include a provision for the firm schedule of notifications associated with changes initiated by BellSouth.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today. Software release notifications and documentation changes for business rules will be provided 30 days or more in advance of the implementation date for CLEC-impacting changes.
j	i) a process for dispute resolution, including referral to state utility commissions or courts?	Yes. The change control process should include a detailed process for dispute resolution, including referral to a dispute resolution process.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today. The CCP maintains a dispute resolution process. In the event that an issue is not resolved through the CCP's escalation process, BellSouth and the affected CLEC(s) will form a Joint Investigative Team of Subject Matter Experts. If the dispute cannot be resolved after this step, then either party may file

Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

		an appropriate request for resolution of the dispute with the appropriate state commission.
j) a process for the escalation of changes in process?	Yes. The change control process should include a detailed process to deal with escalation of changes needed in interfaces.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today.
k) a process for changing the process	Yes. The Change Control Process should itself be subject to necessary change through a timely process that provides for an orderly, informed vote by all interested participants.	This subpart is addressed in CCP today.
What should be the resolution of the following OSS issues currently pending in the change control process but not yet provided? (OSS, Attachment 7, Exhibit A)	The issues AT&T is bringing forward for arbitration have been at issue between the parties for various periods of time. The CCP process is hostage to BellSouth's default power to implement or not implement any change at its option. This default power exists because the CCP process is not subject to regulatory oversight. Only arbitration provides AT&T with a means by which it can obtain the requested capabilities from BellSouth in an assured and timely manner. Further, in the absence of a binding methodology by which the industry can effect change, change can only be initiated by the actions of two parties which can then be expanded to incorporate others.	Issues such as those delineated in this issue should be resolved in the CCP. These are industry issues more properly resolved in another forum and not in this two-party arbitration.
a) parsed customer service records for pre-ordering?	BellSouth should provide parsed customer service records for preordering pursuant to industry standards. AT&T needs this in order to fully integrate its ordering systems with BellSouth's and to obtain the functionality now available to BellSouth. BellSouth's internal systems parse the sections and fields of the CSR as needed to meet software program requirements precluding the need for service representatives to reenter CSR information when processing orders. This item has been an industry standard since the publication of the LSOG3 guidelines.	This subpart is before the CCP. A CCP Change Request was submitted by AT&T requesting a parsed customer service record via TAG. A sub-team was formed in Oct 2000 to begin planning and analysis on the parsing of the CSR. BST currently provides the CLECs a stream of data via TAG. The stream of data is identified by section with each line uniquely identified and delimited. This is consistent with the data provided to BST's retail units.
	k) a process for changing the process What should be the resolution of the following OSS issues currently pending in the change control process but not yet provided? (OSS, Attachment 7, Exhibit A)	should include a detailed process to deal with escalation of changes needed in interfaces. k) a process for changing the process What should be the resolution of the following OSS issues currently pending in the change control process but not yet provided? (OSS, Attachment 7, Exhibit A) The issues AT&T is bringing forward for arbitration have been at issue between the parties for various periods of time. The CCP process is hostage to BellSouth's default power to implement or not implement any change at its option. This default power exists because the CCP process is not subject to regulatory oversight. Only arbitration provides AT&T with a means by which it can obtain the requested capabilities from BellSouth in an assured and timely manner. Further, in the absence of a binding methodology by which the industry can effect change, change can only be initiated by the actions of two parties which can then be expanded to incorporate others. a) parsed customer service records for pre-ordering? BellSouth should provide parsed customer service records for preordering pursuant to industry standards. AT&T needs this in order to fully integrate its ordering systems with BellSouth's and to obtain the functionality now available to BellSouth. BellSouth's internal systems parse the sections and fields of the CSR as needed to meet software program requirements precluding the need for service representatives to reenter CSR information when processing orders. This item has been an industry standard since the

Page 11

Revised Issues Matrix for Arbitration between AT&T and BellSouth

b) ability to submit orders electronically for all services and elements?

BellSouth should provide the ability to submit orders electronically for all services and elements. Lack of electronic ordering increases the possibility of errors and increases costs. BellSouth reported order flowthrough for business services for two years before taking the position that these requests do not flow through. BellSouth formerly claimed only that complex business requests did not flow through, but even then, BellSouth admits that its service representatives type their requests into a front end system (DOE or SONGS), which sends the request to SOCS, which then accepts valid requests and issues the required service orders. Examples of instances in which AT&T requires electronic ordering capability are the UNE Platform, handling of remaining service on partial migrations, use of LSR fields to establish proper billing accounts, ability to order xDSL loops, ability to order digital loops, ability to order complex directory listings, ability to order loops and LNP on a single order, and ability to change main account number on a single order.

Requests for changes or revisions to BellSouth's electronic interfaces to its OSS should be submitted through the CCP. This process allows BellSouth and the CLEC community to review, prioritize and manage changes and revisions to the electronic interfaces based on the needs of the CLEC participants. The CLEC participants control this process and the associated timelines. Although to BellSouth's knowledge no CLEC has submitted this request to the CCP, the CCP would be the appropriate forum to handle such a request.

Non-discriminatory access to BellSouth's OSS does not mean that all services and elements must be ordered electronically with no manual handling. Some services, such as complex services, require manual handling by BellSouth's account teams for BellSouth retail customers. Processing of requests for CLECs may also require some manual processing for these same functions.

c) electronic processing after electronic ordering, without subsequent manual processing by BellSouth personnel?

BellSouth should provide electronic processing after electronic ordering. See (b), above. Examples of instances in which AT&T submits electronic orders that are subsequently processed manually include LNP, UNE-P with LCC, and migrations merging existing accounts, related orders. AT&T has submitted change control requests and participated in other discussions aimed at improving the subsequent manual process pending full automation. Examples include worklist mechanization and a Flow-through Mechanization Project.

Requests for changes or revisions to BellSouth's electronic interfaces to its OSS should be submitted through the CCP. This process allows BellSouth and the CLEC community to review, prioritize and manage changes and revisions to the electronic interfaces based on the needs of the CLEC participants. The CLEC participants control this process and the associated

	<u></u>		
			timelines. Although to BellSouth's knowledge no CLEC has submitted this request to the CCP, the CCP would be the appropriate forum to handle such a request.
			Non-discriminatory access to BellSouth's OSS does not mean that all services and elements must be ordered electronically with no manual handling. Some services, such as complex services, require manual handling by BellSouth's
			account teams for BellSouth retail customers. Processing of requests for CLECs may also require some manual processing for these same functions. Local service requests for
		. · ·	some types of services are submitted electronically but "fall out" by design for processing. Even though the requests by design "fall out" for processing, electronic submission of the request improves the
			overall efficiency and effectiveness of order processing.
19.	Should BellSouth provide AT&T with the ability to access, via EBI/ECTA, the full functionality available to BellSouth from TAFI and WFA? (OSS, Attachment	Yes. TAFI is a non-integrateable interface so AT&T must make additional entries into its own maintenance and repair systems, while BellSouth need only make this entry once. EBI/ECTA is a machine-to-machine interface capable of integration but with limited functional capabilities. It is technically feasible	BellSouth provides AT&T with complete access to TAFI and has complied with the current standards for ECTA. Future enhancements to ECTA shall be through the CCP.
	7)	to provide the full suite of TAFI functions via EBI/ECTA.	

Page 13 Revised: 11/21/00

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 21, 2000, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record, via the method indicated:

[]	Hand
-{{}	Mail
[]	Facsimile
[]	Overnight

James Lamoureux, Esquire AT&T 1200 Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, GA 30309

